

SECRET SENSITIVE

9 August 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

FROM : NSC Coordinator

SUBJECT : SCC Meeting on Intelligence Matters

*now sched
Mon 8/14*

1. This meeting has been rescheduled for Friday, 11 August, 1030 - 1200; however, Defense has submitted a request that it be postponed to a later date since both SecDef and DepSecDef are to be in Newport, R. I., at the wrap-up session of the Defense Science Board on Friday. The NSC is still "considering" their request (the problem in deferring is finding a time when some other principal won't be on vacation or out of town).

2. As of now the agenda appears fairly firm and is as noted in your briefing book. The unofficial item we discussed -- the release of intelligence produced into the public domain -- will not be discussed unless the Director is present (Paul Henze confirmed this today).

25X1A

3. John McMahon will attend the meeting with you and [REDACTED] will go along to be present during the discussion of the Soviet Nationalities topic. (FYI: VADM Inman has been invited to attend when discussing the [REDACTED] item and, possibly, the [REDACTED] project. Paul Henze assures me that he is not going to be present at the discussion of other items but Inman's office believes he is to be present for the entire meeting.)

25X1D

4. Some points regarding the agenda items:

a. Certification/Adequacy of Review. This item is in response to action directed at the last meeting. Per NSC staffer Paul Henze, eight folders will be available for distribution to principals at the meeting (these will be collected and retained by us afterwards). On the left side of the folder will be a copy of the signed statement of certification (the original of the memorandum will be in a folder marked for Brzezinski). On the right side will be examples of borderline cases, six considered sensitive enough to warrant SCC review and six not considered sensitive enough. At the last meeting Warren Christopher raised the question about this process and the Chairman suggested this simple method of making it clear. It should be fairly straightforward, and hopefully no one (e.g. Christopher) will want to challenge the DCI's judgement as to what was left off the list of items reviewed (Brzezinski should back you up fully on this).

*TAG A
(ALL DDO CASES)*

*TAG B
1ST TWO: DDO
2ND TWO: DDO/T
LAST TWO: DOD*

E2 IMPDET
C1 By 064696

SECRET SENSITIVE

SECRET SENSITIVE

Approved For Release 2001/09/05 : CIA-RDP81B00401R001500110002-5

25X1D

25X1D

b. [REDACTED] (VADM Inman present). I have learned that Defense will want to discuss an aspect of this. While agreeing with the thrust of the paper and its conclusions (they believe we need this source of information), they feel the need to raise an issue regarding the assumptions relating to cover. They want to have a full discussion on the problems of official and non-official cover and the impact of this on the [REDACTED] and possibly with Congress. They will note that two individuals have recently gone to [REDACTED] under personal services contract and have been accepted by the [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] will be providing some additional backup on this.

25X1D
25X1D
25X1D

ADDED AT
25X1A FRONT

NEW TALKING
POINTS AT
FRONT

c. Soviet Nationalities. [REDACTED]. The final State paper has not been received. When it is, Walt will, if necessary, provide additional backup information. He will provide some key points to assist you in the discussion of this item. Some decisions may be reached on the desirability of expanding our coverage of these peoples, but more likely further guidance will be provided to the Working Group.

25X1A

25X1A

10 COPIES OF
DRAFT IN
ENVELOPE UNDER
HPSCI TAB.

d. HPSCI Letter. [REDACTED] has been working this one. The purpose of the meeting (from NSC view) is to briefly discuss the letter and gain SCC concurrence to stand by the Consolidated finding as submitted. Also to be determined, perhaps just between you and Brzezinski, is whether the President should sign a reply or the DCI for the President. You may want to use the draft reply simply with Brzezinski or with the entire group as a vehicle of discussion (since the discussion is to be brief I would recommend the former).

25X1C

25X1C

ADDED AT
FRONT

e. [REDACTED] s Project. On the first page of the Proposed Covert Action (second sentence under SYNOPSIS), mention is made of "armed tribal dissidence in the hinterlands" as an example of threats to [REDACTED] security. Since some might question this as valid grounds for a covert action project, Walt is having a brief paper done which will enable you to handle this if it should come up.

25X1A

cc: DDO

SECRET SENSITIVE

Approved For Release 2001/09/05 : CIA-RDP81B00401R001500110002-5